

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,477	04/01/2004	John A. Macoviak	9551.18495-PCT US CIP	6368
26308 7	590 10/10/2006		EXAMINER	
	MHOLZ & MANION	ROLLINS, ROSILAND STACIE		
POST OFFICE BOX 26618 MILWAUKEE, WI 53226			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3739	

DATE MAILED: 10/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/815,477	MACOVIAK ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Rosiland S. Rollins	3739				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re in. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	01 April 2004.					
·	-	This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4) 🔯	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) 🗌	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Example 1	miner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents		119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum		oplication No.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the						
	application from the International Bu		•				
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies not r	eceived.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		/Mail Date formal Patent Application				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/815,477

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Species I in figures 1a-4, Species II in figures 5-7, Species III in figures 8-9, Species IV in figures 10-11, Species V in figures 12-13, Species VI in figure 16a, Species VII in figures 19a-b, Species VIII in figures 20a-b, Species IX in figure 34. The species are independent or distinct because they disclose different embodiments of the invention.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/815,477

Art Unit: 3739

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rosiland S. Rollins whose telephone number is (571) 272-4772. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,477 Page 4

Art Unit: 3739

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TOO O ME DE Rosiland S Rollins Primary Examiner Art Unit 3739